arXiv:1611.01077v1 [math.NT] 3 Nov 2016

RAMIFICATION OF WILD AUTOMORPHISMS OF LAURENT SERIES FIELDS

KENZ KALLAL AND HUDSON KIRKPATRICK

Abstract. We study the structure of the Nottingham group $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ of power series $f \in X \cdot \mathbb{F}_p[[X]]$ with $f'(0) = 1$ where $p > 2$ is prime, which is isomorphic to the group of wild automorphisms of $\mathbb{F}_p((X))$. We concern ourselves with power series $g \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ with $g^{\circ m} \neq X$ for all $m \geq 1$ (that is, power series of infinite order in $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$), and we determine a necessary and sufficient criterion for such $g \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ having ramification type $i_n(g) = 3(1 + \cdots + p^n)$ given a finite number of coefficients of g. We also conjecture analogous results for higher ramification based on the results of a computer program, generalizing Fransson's 2016 theorem on 2-ramified power series, as well as the work on minimally ramified power series used in a 2013 result of Lindahl and a 2015 result of Lindahl–Rivera-Letelier.

1. Introduction

Let p be an odd prime, and consider the set of formal power series over $\mathbb{F}_p$.

Definition 1.1. $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is the group under composition of power series of the form

$$f(X) = X + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} a_i X^i \in \mathbb{F}_p[[X]]$$

with coefficients $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$.

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is a group by explicitly computing compositional inverses from a given sequence of coefficients [7], and it is isomorphic to the group of wild automorphisms of $\mathbb{F}_p((X))$ [17]. $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, also known as the Nottingham group, is an example of a pro-p group (a compact topological group which is an inverse limit of p-groups) which has recently received a considerable amount of attention. The study of pro-p groups was originally motivated by number theory in the context of Galois cohomology and notably discussed by Serre [20]. Since the 1960s, the Nottingham group has become increasingly studied as a prototypical example and test case for group theorists studying pro-p groups due to the ease of computation in the Nottingham group, which essentially amounts to compositions of power series [3]. In particular, the Nottingham group and the computations associated with it were introduced to group theory by Jennings [7], Johnson [8] and York [22, 21]. One of the most important recent group-theoretic results on the Nottingham group is due to Leedham-Green–Weiss and Camina [2]; it states that every finite p-group can be embedded in $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, and every countably-based pro-p group can be embedded as a closed subgroup of $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

We will only consider power series $f \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ such that $f^{\circ m}(X) \neq X$ for all $m \geq 1$ (that is, elements of $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ of infinite order). This is because of a celebrated theorem of Klopsch [10] which

Date: November 3, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11S15, 11D88 (Primary), 20E36, 20E18 (Secondary).

classified all elements of $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ of order p up to conjugacy, and was generalized by Jean [6] and Lubin [16] to order p^n (see also [1]).

The number-theoretic work on the Nottingham group relies on ramification theory, which we now introduce in part.

Definition 1.2 (Valuation)**.** If $f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_p((X))$, then the *$X$-adic valuation* of f, $\mathrm{val}_X(f)$, is the integer i such that $f(X) \in X^i\mathbb{F}_p[[X]]$ and $f(X) \notin X^{i+1}\mathbb{F}_p[[X]]$. If f is identically zero, then we write that $\mathrm{val}_X(f) = +\infty$.

Definition 1.3 (Ramification)**.** The ramification number of $f \in \mathbb{F}_p((X))$ is

$$i(f) := \mathrm{val}_X(f(X) - X) - 1.$$

We will frequently mention the "n^{th} ramification number" of f, that is, $i_n(f) := i(f^{\circ p^n})$. It is immediate that conjugation in $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ preserves ramification. That is, for all $f, g \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$,

$$i(g \circ f \circ g^{-1}) = i(f).$$

It is therefore natural to consider the power series with certain sequences of ramification numbers and to classify them up to conjugacy.

Question 1.4. Let $b \in \mathbb{N}$. Which conjugacy classes of power series f of infinite order in $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ have ramification of the form $i_n(f) = b(1 + p + \cdots + p^n)$ for all $n \geq 0$ with $p \nmid b$?

We will justify the choice of ramification of this form (which we will write as "b-ramified") with Theorem 2.5, a powerful result of Laubie and Saïne which restricts it to that form under certain conditions. Laubie, Movahhedi and Salinier [12] made progress on Question 1.4 in the case $b = 1$ by using advanced tools in number theory to prove that all such elements of $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ must be conjugate to powers of each other over some extension of $\mathbb{F}_p$. Motivated by trying to understand power series with ramification of this form for $b \geq 1$, we consider the following question:

Question 1.5. Let $b \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p \nmid b$. Given a sequence $\{a_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ of coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_p$, does

$$f(X) = X + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i X^{i+b} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

have ramification $i_n(f) = b(1 + \cdots + p^n)$ for all $n \geq 0$?

We will write $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ as defined in Question 1.5 as the "first n nontrivial coefficients of f." Fransson [5] solved Question 1.5 for $b = 2$ by giving a finite polynomial condition on the a_i's. This itself is a generalization of the characterization of minimally ramified power series, which has been used to prove many important theorems in nonarchimedean dynamical systems [12, 15, 13, 14, 18]. We prove the following result, answering Question 1.5 in the case $b = 3$:

Theorem 1.6. Let $f(X) = X + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i X^{i+3} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ be of infinite order in $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ for some prime $p > 3$. Then $i_n(f) = 3(1+\cdots+p^n)$ for all $n \geq 0$ if and only if $a_1 \neq 0$ and $2a_1^4 - a_2^3 + 2a_1a_2a_3 - a_1^2a_4 \neq 0$.

Motivated by results of a computer program (see Appendix A), we also conjecture in Conjecture 4.2 that for all $b \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a polynomial $P_b \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_{b+1}]$ such that $f \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ with $i(f) = b$ is b-ramified if and only if P_b is nonzero when evaluated at the first $b + 1$ nontrivial coefficients of f (as defined in Question 1.5) and $p \nmid b$. This reduces finding a polynomial criterion modulo large

products of primes for b-ramification to a finite computation. Assuming that Conjecture 4.2 is true, these computations (also carried out by the SAGE program in Appendix A) give the following results:

Theorem 1.7. Assume Conjecture 4.2, and let $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose

$$f(X) = X + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i X^{i+b} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

with $i(f) = b$ (i.e. $a_1 \neq 0$). Then there exists a polynomial $P_b(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_{b+1}]$ such that $i_n(f) = b(1 + \cdots + p^n)$ for all $n \geq 0$ if and only if $p \nmid b$ and $P_b(a_1, \ldots, a_{b+1}) \neq 0$, where the first few values of P_b modulo the product of the first 100 such primes (which is greater than 10^{200}) are listed below.

$$\begin{aligned}
P_1 &= 2x_1^2 - 2x_2 \\
P_2 &= 3x_1^3 + 2x_2^2 - 2x_1x_3 \\
P_3 &= 4x_1^4 - 2x_2^3 + 4x_1x_2x_3 - 2x_1^2x_4 \\
P_4 &= 5x_1^5 + 2x_2^4 - 6x_1x_2^2x_3 + 2x_1^2x_3^2 + 4x_1^2x_2x_4 - 2x_1^3x_5 \\
P_5 &= 6x_1^6 - 2x_2^5 + 8x_1x_2^3x_3 - 6x_1^2x_2x_3^2 - 6x_1^2x_2^2x_4 + 4x_1^3x_2x_5 - 2x_1^4x_6 \\
P_6 &= 7x_1^7 + 2x_2^6 - 10x_1x_2^4x_3 - 11x_1^2x_2^2x_3^2 - 2x_1^3x_3^3 + 8x_1^2x_2^3x_4 + 11x_1^3x_2x_3x_4 + 2x_1^4x_4^2 \\
&\quad - 6x_1^3x_2^2x_5 + 4x_1^4x_3x_5 + 4x_1^4x_2x_6 - 2x_1^5x_7.
\end{aligned}$$

Our program is capable of computing the P_b's from Theorem 1.7 in a reasonable amount of time for $b \leq 50$, though the number of terms becomes too large to display in this paper.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe the historical number-theoretic results on ramification numbers of power series leading up to our work. In Sections 3 and 4, we give an explicit computation of a necessary and sufficient criterion for power series of ramification $i_n(f) = 3(1+\cdots+p^n)$ for all $n \geq 0$ and conjecture similar results in higher ramification based on the computations of our computer program in Appendix A. In Section 5, we outline future directions for work on this problem.

2. Ramification of Power Series of Infinite Order

We set out with the goal of understanding the restrictions on the sequences of ramification numbers of elements of infinite order in $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. The most important historical result with regard to this is due to Sen [19, Theorem 1]:

Theorem 2.1 (Sen, 1969)**.** Let $f \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$i_n(f) \equiv i_{n-1}(f) \pmod{p^n}.$$

The proof of Sen's Theorem can be done entirely with computations on power series. The following corollary immediately follows from power series computations and Sen's Theorem.

Corollary 2.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Then for all $n \geq 0$,

$$i_n(f) \geq 1 + p + \cdots + p^n. \tag{2.3}$$

Power series $f \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ for which inequality holds in (2.3) are called *minimally ramified*. Much more difficult to prove is Keating's Theorem [9, Theorem 7], which gives a general form for $i_n(f)$ given the first two ramification numbers. under certain conditions.

Theorem 2.4 (Keating, 1992)**.** Suppose $f \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ has infinite order. If $i_0(f) = 1$ and $i_1(f) = 1 + bp$ with $1 \leq b \leq p-2$, then $i_n(f) = 1 + bp + \cdots + bp^n$.

We will use a powerful generalization of Theorem 2.4 from [11, Theorem 2].

Theorem 2.5 (Laubie and Saïne 1997)**.** Let $f \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ be of infinite order. Then the following hold:

(1) If $p | i(f)$, then $i_n(f) = p^n i(f)$ for all $n \geq 0$.
(2) Otherwise, if $i_1(f) < (p^2 - p + 1)i(f)$, then

$$i_n(f) = i(f) + (1 + \cdots + p^{n-1})(i_1(f) - i(f))$$

It follows from Theorem 2.5 that if $f \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ with $i(f) = b$, $i_1(f) = b + bp$ and $p \nmid b$, then $i_n(f) = b(1 + \cdots + p^n)$. We write that a power series with ramification of this form is b-ramified, and in answering Question 1.5 we seek to classify the b-ramified power series for certain values of b. The special case $b = 2$ was solved in [5, Theorem 1]:

Theorem 2.6 (Fransson 2016)**.** A given power series of infinite order given by $f(X) = X + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i X^{i+2} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is 2-ramified if and only if $3a_3^3 + 2a_4^2 - 2a_2a_5 \neq 0$ and $a_1 \neq 0$.

We will prove a similar result for the 3-ramified power series, and conjecture a general result on all b-ramified power series.

3. Classification of 3-Ramified Power Series

We will exploit Theorem 2.5 to classify the 3-ramified power series $f(X) \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ based on a polynomial condition on the first four non-trivial coefficients of f. For the remainder of this section, we let

$$f(X) = X + a_1X^4 + a_2X^5 + \cdots \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

be of infinite order in $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ for some prime $p > 3$ with $a_1 \neq 0$, so that $i(f) = 3$.

Define the power series $\Delta_m(X)$ such that

$$\Delta_{m+1} = \Delta_m \circ f - \Delta_m,$$

with $\Delta_1 = f(X) - X$. Note that by induction, $\mathrm{val}_X(\Delta_m) \geq 3m + 1$. The following lemma is also true by induction:

Lemma 3.1. Suppose $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$\Delta_m(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} (-1)^i \binom{m}{i} f^{\circ(m-i)}(X)$$

Since $\mathbb{F}_p$ has characteristic p, this corollary is immediate:

Corollary 3.2. $\Delta_p(X) = f^{\circ p}(X) - X$.

Therefore, we let

$$\Delta_m(X) = A_m X^{3m+1} + B_m X^{3m+2} + C_m X^{3m+3} + D_m X^{3m+4} + \cdots,$$

and by Definition 1.3, $i_1(f) = 3(1+p)$ if and only if $\mathrm{val}_X(\Delta_p) = 3p+4$. Hence, by Theorem 2.5, f is 3-ramified if and only if $A_p = B_p = C_p = 0$ and $D_p \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{F}_p$. We wish to compute the values of these first four coefficients of $\Delta_p(X)$, so we find that modulo $\langle X^{3m+8}\rangle$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{m+1}(X) &= A_m(X + a_1X^4 + \cdots)^{3m+1} \\
&\quad + B_m(X + a_1X^4 + \cdots)^{3m+2} \\
&\quad + C_m(X + a_1X^4 + \cdots)^{3m+3} \\
&\quad + D_m(X + a_1X^4 + \cdots)^{3m+4} \\
&\quad - (A_mX^{3m+1} + B_mX^{3m+2} + C_mX^{3m+3} + D_mX^{3m+4}) \\
&= (A_m(3m+1)a_1)X^{3m+4} \\
&\quad + (A_m(3m+1)a_2 + B_m(3m+2)a_1)X^{3m+5} \\
&\quad + (A_m(3m+1)a_3 + B_m(3m+2)a_2 + C_m(3m+3)a_3)X^{3m+6} \\
&\quad + \Bigg(A_m a_1^2\binom{3m+1}{2} + A_m(3m+1)a_4 \\
&\quad + B_m(3m+2)a_3 + C_m(3m+3)a_2 + D_m(3m+4)a_1\Bigg)X^{3m+7}.
\end{aligned}$$

From this, we obtain the recurrence

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{m+1} \\ B_{m+1} \\ C_{m+1} \\ D_{m+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1(3m+1) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2(3m+1) & a_1(3m+2) & 0 & 0 \\ a_3(3m+1) & a_2(3m+2) & a_1(3m+3) & 0 \\ a_1^2\binom{3m+1}{2} + a_4(3m+1) & a_3(3m+2) & a_2(3m+3) & a_1(3m+4) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_m \\ B_m \\ C_m \\ D_m \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.3}$$

with initial conditions $A_m = a_1, B_m = a_2, C_m = a_3, D_m = a_4$. Now, we solve this recurrence for the individual coefficients to compute A_p, B_p, C_p, D_p. The solutions follow from basic tools in solving difference equations (see [4]), and we ultimately obtain that if $n!!!$ is defined by $0!!! = 1!!! = 2!!! = 1$ and $n!!! = n(n-3)!!!$, then the following hold:

$$A_m = a_1^m(3m-2)!!! \tag{3.4}$$

$$B_m = a_2a_1^{m-1}\left((3m-1)!!! + \sum_{r=1}^{m-1}\frac{(3r+1)!!!(3m-1)!!!}{(3r+2)!!!}\right) \tag{3.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_m &= a_3a_1^{m-1}(3m)!!! + a_3a_1^{m-1}\sum_{r=1}^{m-1}\frac{(3m)!!!(3r+1)!!!}{(3r+3)!!!} + a_2^2a_1^{m-2}\sum_{r=1}^{m-1}\frac{(3m)!!!(3r+2)!!!}{(3r+3)!!!} \\
&\quad + a_2^2a_1^{m-2}\sum_{r=1}^{m-1}\frac{(3m)!!!}{(3r+3)!!!}\sum_{n=1}^{r-1}\frac{(3n+1)!!!(3r+2)!!!}{(3n+2)!!!}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

$$D_m = \alpha(m)a_1^{m+1} + \beta(m)a_1^{m-3}a_2^3 + \gamma(m)a_1^{m-2}a_2a_3 + \delta(m)a_1^{m-1}a_4 \tag{3.7}$$

$$\alpha(m) = \sum_{r=1}^{m-1}\frac{3r(3m+1)!!!}{2(3r+4)} \tag{3.8}$$

$$\beta(m) = \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \frac{(3m+1)!!!}{(3r+4)!!!} \sum_{n=1}^{r-1} \frac{(3r+3)!!!}{(3n+3)!!!} \left((3n+2)!!! + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{(3j+1)!!!(3n+2)!!!}{(3j+2)!!!} \right) \tag{3.9}$$

$$\gamma(m) = \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \frac{(3m+1)!!!}{(3r+4)!!!} \Bigg((3r+2)!!! + (3r+3)!!! + \sum_{n=1}^{r-1} \left(\frac{(3n+1)!!!(3r+2)!!!}{(3n+2)!!!} + \frac{(3n+1)!!!(3r+3)!!!}{(3n+3)!!!} \right) \Bigg) \tag{3.10}$$

$$\delta(m) = (3m+1)!!! + \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \frac{(3m+1)!!!}{3r+4} \tag{3.11}$$

It follows from (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) that $A_p = B_p = C_p = 0$ in $\mathbb{F}_p$. And since $a_1 \neq 0$, Fermat's Little Theorem gives

$$D_m = \alpha(m)a_1^4 + \beta(m)a_2^3 + \gamma(m)a_1a_2a_3 + \delta(m)a_1^2a_4.$$

It is our goal to compute the coefficients $\alpha(p), \beta(p), \gamma(p), \delta(p)$.

First, we define the inverse of 3 in $\mathbb{F}_p$ to be

$$t = \begin{cases} (2p+1)/3, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod 3 \\ (p+1)/3, & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod 3 \end{cases}.$$

We will repeatedly exploit the following simple fact.

Lemma 3.12. Let $y \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then in $\mathbb{F}_p$,

$$(3x+y)!!! = 3^x \frac{(x+yt)!}{(yt)!}.$$

All equalities in this section are stated in $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and reduced to $\mathbb{F}_p$ by dividing by nonzero elements in $\mathbb{F}_p$ and cancelling factors of p. We will also write that $\binom{n}{k} = 0$ when $k < 0$ or $k > n$.

We will use the following two lemmas repeatedly in our computations for the coefficients of D_p.

Lemma 3.13. Let $0 < r < p-1$. Then

$$(r+1)! = \frac{(-1)^r}{(p-r-2)!}.$$

The proof of Lemma 3.13 follows from Wilson's Theorem and a simple computation in $\mathbb{F}_p$.

Lemma 3.14.

$$\sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \frac{(p+t-1)!}{(r+t+1)!}(r+1)! = 0.$$

Proof. $\binom{p+t-1}{r+t+1}$ is non-zero in $\mathbb{F}_p$ when $r+t+1 \geq p$, so applying Lemma 3.13 and separately considering the $r = p-1$ term, we have

$$\sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \frac{(p+t-1)!}{(r+t+1)!}(r+1)! = \sum_{r=p-t-1}^{p-2} (-1)^r \binom{p+t-1}{r+t+1}$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} (-1)^{r-p-t-1} \binom{p+t-1}{p+r} = \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} (-1)^{r-p-t-1} \binom{t-1}{r}$$
$$= (-1)^t \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} (-1)^r \binom{t-1}{r} = 0.$$

□

Proposition 3.15. $\alpha(p) = 2$ in $\mathbb{F}_p$.

Proof. By (3.8) and Lemma 3.12,

$$\alpha(p) = \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \frac{3r(3p+1)!!!}{2(3r+4)} = \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \frac{3r(p+t)!}{2(r+t+1)t!}.$$

The summand is nonzero only when $r+t+1 = p$ so we have

$$\alpha(p) = \frac{3(p-t-1)(p+t)!}{2p \cdot t!} = -\frac{3t+3}{2} \cdot (p-1)! = (-2)(-1) = 2$$

by Wilson's Theorem. □

Part of the computation of $\beta(p)$ is given by the following lemma:

Lemma 3.16.

$$\sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \sum_{n=1}^{r-1} \frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)!} \cdot \frac{(r+1)!}{(n+1)!} \cdot (n+2t)! \frac{(p-t)!}{(p+t)!} \binom{t+p+1}{2t} = 0.$$

Proof. By simple algebraic manipulations, we see that the sum above can be written

$$\frac{(p-t)!}{(p+t)!} \cdot \frac{(p+t+1)!}{(p-t+1)!} \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \sum_{n=1}^{r-1} \frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)!} \cdot \frac{(r+1)!}{(n+1)!} \cdot \frac{(n+2t)!}{(2t)!},$$

and we let

$$c = \frac{(p-t)!}{(p+t)!} \cdot \frac{(p+t+1)!}{(p-t+1)!} = \frac{p+t+1}{p-t+1} \in \mathbb{F}_p,$$

which is constant with respect to the indices. Hence our original sum reduces to

$$c \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \sum_{n=1}^{r-1} \frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)!} \cdot \frac{(r+1)!}{(n+1)!} \cdot \frac{(n+2t)!}{(2t)!}$$

which is zero by Lemma 3.14. □

Proposition 3.17. $\beta(p) = -1$ in $\mathbb{F}_p$.

Proof. Applying (3.9) gives that $\beta(p) = \beta_1(p) + \beta_2(p)$ where

$$\beta_1(p) = \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \frac{(3p+1)!!!}{(3r+4)!!!} \sum_{n=1}^{r-1} \frac{(3r+3)!!!}{(3n+3)!!!} (3n+2)!!!$$

and

$$\beta_2(p) = \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \frac{(3p+1)!!!}{(3r+4)!!!} \sum_{n=1}^{r-1} \frac{(3r+3)!!!}{(3n+3)!!!} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{(3j+1)!!!(3n+2)!!!}{(3j+2)!!!}.$$

First, by Lemma 3.12

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_1(p) &= \sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^{r-1} \frac{(3p+1)!!!}{(3(r+1)+1)!!!}\cdot\frac{(3r+3)!!!}{(3n+3)!!!}\cdot(3n+2)!!! \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^{r-1} \frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)!}\cdot\frac{(r+1)!}{(n+1)!}\cdot\frac{(n+2t)!}{(2t)!}.\end{aligned}$$

Continuing our simplification, we have

$$\frac{(n+2t)!}{(2t)!(n+1)!} = \frac{1}{2t}\binom{n+2t}{n+1}$$

so that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{r-1}\frac{(n+2t)!}{(2t)!(n+1)!} = \frac{1}{2t}\sum_{n=1}^{r-1}\binom{n+2t}{n+1} = \frac{1}{2t}\left(\binom{r+2t}{r}-1-2t\right).$$

This means that by Lemma 3.14 and the Hockey Stick Identity,

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_1(p) &= \frac{t}{2t}\sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\frac{(p+t-1)!(r+1)!}{(r+t+1)!}\binom{r+2t}{r} - \frac{1+2t}{2t}\sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)!}(r+1)! \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\frac{(p+t-1)!(r+1)!}{(r+t+1)!}\binom{r+2t}{r} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\frac{(p+t-1)!(r+2t)!}{(r+t+1)!(2t)!}(r+1) \\ &= \frac{(p+t-1)!}{2(2t)!}\sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\frac{(r+2t)!}{(r+t+1)!}(r+t+1-t) \\ &= \frac{(p+t-1)!t!}{2(2t)!}\sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\left(\binom{r+2t}{r+t}-\binom{r+2t}{r+t+1}\right) \\ &= \frac{(p+t-1)!t!}{2(2t)!}\left(\binom{p+2t}{p+t-1}-\binom{2t+1}{t}-\binom{p+2t}{p+t}+\binom{2t+1}{t+1}\right).\end{aligned}$$

It trivially follows by expansion of each of the four terms that $\beta_1(p) = 0$.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_2(p) &= \sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^{r-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)!}\cdot\frac{(r+1)!}{(n+1)!}\cdot\frac{(n+2t)!}{(k+2t)!}\frac{(k+t)!}{t!} \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^{r-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)!}\cdot\frac{(r+1)!}{(n+1)!}\cdot\frac{(n+2t)!}{(k+2t)!}\frac{(k+t+p)!}{(p+t)!} \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^{r-1}\frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)!}\cdot\frac{(r+1)!}{(n+1)!}\cdot(n+2t)!\left(\frac{(p-t)!}{(p+t)!}\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\binom{k+t+p}{k+2t}\right) \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^{r-1}\frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)!}\cdot\frac{(r+1)!}{(n+1)!}\cdot(n+2t)!\frac{(p-t)!}{(p+t)!}\left(\binom{n+t+p}{n-1+2t}-\binom{t+p+1}{2t}\right).\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.16,

$$\sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^{r-1}\frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)!}\cdot\frac{(r+1)!}{(n+1)!}\cdot(n+2t)!\frac{(p-t)!}{(p+t)!}\binom{t+p+1}{2t} = 0$$

so from now on we will only consider

$$\beta_2(p) = \sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^{r-1} \frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)!}\cdot\frac{(r+1)!}{(n+1)!}\cdot(n+2t)!\frac{(p-t)!}{(p+t)!}\binom{n+t+p}{n-1+2t}.$$

Note that

$$\frac{(n+2t)!}{(n+1)!}\cdot\binom{n+t+p}{n-1+2t} = \frac{(n+2t)!}{(n+1)!}\cdot\frac{(n+t+p)!}{(n-1+2t)!(p-t+1)!} = (n+2t)\cdot\frac{(n+t+p)!}{(n+1)!(p-t+1)!}.$$

Now accounting for the $\frac{(p-t)!}{(p+t)!}$ factor, we have

$$\frac{(p-t)!}{(p+t)!}\cdot(n+2t)\cdot\frac{(n+t+p)!}{(n+1)!(p-t+1)!} = \frac{n+2t}{p-t+1}\cdot\frac{(n+t)!}{t!(n+1)!}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_2(p) &= \sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^{r-1} \frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)!}\cdot(r+1)!\cdot\frac{n+2t}{p-t+1}\cdot\frac{(n+t)!}{t!(n+1)!} \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^{r-1} \frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)!}\cdot(r+1)!\cdot\frac{n+2t+(t-1)-(t-1)}{p-t+1}\cdot\frac{(n+t)!}{t!(n+1)!} \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^{r-1} \frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)!}\cdot(r+1)!\cdot\left(\frac{n+2t-(t-1)}{p-t+1}+\frac{t-1}{p-t+1}\right)\cdot\frac{(n+t)!}{t!(n+1)!} \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^{r-1} \frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)!}\cdot(r+1)!\cdot\frac{n+2t-(t-1)}{p-t+1}\cdot\frac{(n+t)!}{t!(n+1)!} \\
&+ \sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^{r-1} \frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)!}\cdot(r+1)!\cdot\frac{t-1}{p-t+1}\cdot\frac{(n+t)!}{t!(n+1)!}.
\end{aligned}$$

Breaking the sum apart, we define

$$R_1 = \sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^{r-1} \frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)!}\cdot(r+1)!\cdot\frac{n+2t-(t-1)}{p-t+1}\cdot\frac{(n+t)!}{t!(n+1)!}$$

and

$$R_2 = \sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^{r-1} \frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)!}\cdot(r+1)!\cdot\frac{t-1}{p-t+1}\cdot\frac{(n+t)!}{t!(n+1)!}.$$

We will show $R_1 = 0$ and $R_2 = -1$. First,

$$\begin{aligned}
R_1 &= \sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^{r-1} \frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)!}\cdot(r+1)!\cdot\frac{n+2t-(t-1)}{p-t+1}\cdot\frac{(n+t)!}{t!(n+1)!} \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^{r-1} \frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)!}\cdot(r+1)!\cdot\frac{1}{p-t+1}\cdot\frac{(n+t+1)!}{t!(n+1)!} \\
&= \frac{1}{p-t+1}\sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)!}\cdot(r+1)!\sum_{n=1}^{r-1}\binom{n+t+1}{n+1} \\
&= \frac{1}{p-t+1}\sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)!}\cdot(r+1)!\cdot\binom{r+t+1}{r}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{p-t+1}\sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\frac{(p+t)!}{(t+1)!}\cdot(r+1) = 0.$$

Computing R_2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R_2 &= \sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^{r-1}\frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)!}\cdot(r+1)!\cdot\frac{t-1}{p-t+1}\cdot\frac{(n+t)!}{t!(n+1)!}\\
&= \sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^{r-1}\frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)!}\cdot(r+1)!\cdot\frac{t-1}{-t+1}\cdot\frac{(n+t)!}{t!(n+1)!}\\
&= -\sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^{r-1}\frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)!}\cdot(r+1)!\cdot\frac{(n+t)!}{t!(n+1)!}\\
&= -\sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)!}\cdot(r+1)!\frac{1}{t}\sum_{n=1}^{r-1}\frac{(n+t)!}{(t-1)!(n+1)!}\\
&= -3\sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)!}\cdot(r+1)!\sum_{n=1}^{r-1}\binom{n+t}{n+1}\\
&= -3\sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)!}\cdot(r+1)!\binom{r+t}{r}\\
&= -\frac{3}{t!}\sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\frac{(p+t)!}{r+t+1}\cdot(r+1).
\end{aligned}$$

Every term in this sum is 0 except when $r+t+1=p$, so

$$-\frac{3}{t!}\sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\frac{(p+t)!}{r+t+1}\cdot(r+1) = -\frac{3}{t!}\cdot\frac{(p+t)!}{p}\cdot(p-t)+0 = -\frac{3}{t!}\cdot(-t!)\cdot(-t) = -3t = -1$$

Therefore we finally have $\beta(p) = \beta_1(p)+\beta_2(p) = R_1+R_2 = -1$. □

Proposition 3.18. $\gamma(p) = 2$ in $\mathbb{F}_p$.

Proof. We compute each of the four terms in the sum given in (3.10). First, by Lemma 3.12,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\frac{(3p+1)!!!}{(3r+4)!!!}(3r+2)!!! &= \sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)!}\cdot\frac{(r+2t)!}{(2t)!}\\
&= \sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\binom{r+2t}{t-1}\cdot\frac{(p+t)!(t-1)!}{(2t)!}\\
&= \frac{(p+t)!(t-1)!}{(2t)!}\sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\binom{r+2t}{t-1}\\
&= \frac{(p+t)!(t-1)!}{(2t)!}\left[\binom{p+2t}{t}-\binom{2t+1}{t}\right]\\
&= \frac{(p+t)!(t-1)!}{(2t)!}\left[\frac{(p+2t)!}{(p+t)!t!}-\frac{(2t+1)!}{(t+1)!t!}\right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{-p}{t} - \frac{-p(2t+1)}{t(t+1)} = 0.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 3.14 we have

$$\sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \frac{(3p+1)!!!}{(3r+4)!!!}(3r+3)!!! = 3\sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \frac{(p+t)!(r+1)!}{(r+t+1)!} = 0.$$

Computing the double sums, we let

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_1(p) &= \sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^{r-1} \frac{(3p+1)!!!}{(3r+4)!!!} \cdot \frac{(3r+2)!!!}{(3n+2)!!!} \cdot (3n+1)!!! \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^{r-1} \frac{(p+t)!(r+2t)!(n+t)!}{(r+t+1)!(n+2t)!t!} \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^{r-1} (-p)\binom{r+2t}{r+t+1} \cdot (t-1)! \frac{(n+t)!}{(n+2t)!} \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \binom{r+2t}{r+t+1} \sum_{n=1}^{r-1} (t-1)! \frac{(p+n+t)!}{(n+2t)!} \\
&= (-1)^t \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \binom{r+2t}{r+t+1} \sum_{n=1}^{r-1} \frac{(p+n+t)!}{(n+2t)!(p-t)!} \\
&= (-1)^t \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \binom{r+2t}{r+t+1} \sum_{n=1}^{r-1} \binom{p+n+t}{n+2t} \\
&= (-1)^t \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \binom{r+2t}{r+t+1}\binom{p+r+t}{r+2t-1} - (-1)^t \binom{p+t+1}{2t} \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \binom{r+2t}{r+t+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the Hockey Stick Identity to the second term in the sum and checking both cases for t depending on the value of $p \pmod 3$ immediately gives that the second term is zero. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_1(p) &= (-1)^t \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \binom{r+2t}{r+t+1}\binom{p+r+t}{r+2t-1} \\
&= (-1)^t \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \frac{(r+2t)!(p+r+t)!}{(r+t+1)!(t-1)!(r+2t-1)!(p-t+1)!} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^t}{(t-1)!(p-t+1)!} \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \frac{(r+2t)(-p)}{(r+t+1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $(t-1)! = (-1)^t/(p-t)!$ (which follows from Lemma 3.13), we get

$$\gamma_1(p) = \frac{1}{(p-t+1)} \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \frac{(r+2t)(-p)}{(r+t+1)}$$

The only nonzero term in the sum occurs when $r+t+1 = p$, which gives $\gamma_1(p) = (1-t)/(1-t) = 1$. To compute the second double sum given by (3.10), we use Lemma 3.12 again to get

$$\gamma_2(p) = \sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\sum_{n=1}^{r-1} \frac{(3p+1)!!!}{(3r+4)!!!} \cdot \frac{(3r+3)!!!}{(3n+3)!!!} \cdot (3n+1)!!!$$

$$= \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \sum_{n=1}^{r-1} \frac{(p+t)!(r+1)!(n+t)!}{(r+t+1)!(n+1)!t!}$$
$$= \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \frac{(p+t)!(r+1)!}{t(r+t+1)!} \sum_{n=1}^{r-1} \binom{n+t}{n+1}$$
$$= \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \frac{(p+t)!(r+1)!}{t(r+t+1)!} \left[\binom{r+t}{r} - (t+1) \right]$$
$$= \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \frac{(p+t-1)!(r+1)!(r+t)!}{(r+t+1)!r!t!} - (t+1) \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \frac{(p+t-1)!(r+1)!}{(r+t+1)!}.$$

The second sum is zero by Lemma 3.14, so

$$\gamma_2(p) = \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \frac{-p(r+1)}{t(r+t+1)}.$$

The only nonzero term in this sum occurs when $r+t+1 = p$, so $\gamma_2(p) = (t-p)/t = 1$. Therefore, $\gamma(p) = \gamma_1(p)+\gamma_2(p) = 2$. □

Proposition 3.19. $\delta(p) = -1$ in $\mathbb{F}_p$.

Proof. We compute that

$$\delta(p) = (3p+1)!!!+\sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \frac{(3p+1)!!!}{3r+4} = \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \frac{(p+t)!}{(r+t+1)t!} = \frac{(p+t)!}{t!} = (p-1)! = -1$$

by the same argument used in Lemma 3.15. □

Theorem 1.6 follows from (3.7) and Propositions 3.15, 3.17, 3.18, and 3.19.

4. Generalizing the Classification

Given a positive integer b and an odd prime p such that $p \nmid b$, our SAGE program (given in Appendix A) directly generalizes the logic in the computation of (3.3) to b-ramified elements $f \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, giving $b+1$ polynomial conditions on the coefficients of f. For f defined as in Question 1.5, we give the following recurrence for the first $b+1$ nontrivial coefficients of Δ_m, which is as defined in Section 3:

$$\text{(4.1)} \quad \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,m+1} \\ A_{2,m+1} \\ \vdots \\ A_{b,m+1} \\ A_{b+1,m+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1(bm+1) & 0 & 0 \cdots & 0 \\ a_2(bm+1) & a_1(bm+2) & 0 \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_b(bm+1) & \cdots & a_1(bm+b) & 0 \\ a_1^2\binom{bm+1}{2} + a_{b+1}(bm+1) & a_b(bm+2) & \cdots & a_1(bm+b+1) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,m} \\ A_{2,m} \\ \vdots \\ A_{b,m} \\ A_{b+1,m} \end{pmatrix}$$

(note that the initial conditions are, by definition, $A_{n,1} = a_n$). In particular, $A_{n,m}$ denotes the degree-$(bm+n)$ coefficient of Δ_m, and we do not list the others since $\mathrm{val}_x(\Delta_m)$ is trivially at least $bm+1$ by the same argument used in the proof of (3.3).

It follows that by Corollary 3.2, a power series given by

$$f(X) = X + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i X^{i+b} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

is therefore b-ramified if and only if $A_{1,p}, \ldots, A_{b,p} = 0$ and $A_{b+1,p} \neq 0$. Our SAGE program treats $a_1, \ldots, a_{b+1}$ as the indeterminants $x_0, \ldots, x_b$ and computes the coefficients $A_{1,p}, \ldots, A_{b+1,p}$ as polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_p[x_0, \ldots, x_b]$ by repeatedly applying (4.1). Running this program for the first 100 odd primes p such that $p \nmid b$ for $b \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 10\}$, the results suggest the following conjecture:

Conjecture 4.2. For all positive integers b, there exists a polynomial $P_b \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_{b+1}]$ such that any $f(X) = X + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i X^{i+b} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ of infinite order is b-ramified if and only if $p \nmid b$, $a_1 \neq 0$ and $P_b(a_1, \ldots, a_{b+1}) \neq 0$.

In other words, the first b polynomials given by the generalized recurrence (4.1) are identically zero, and the coefficients of the last polynomial do not depend on p as long as $p \nmid b$. Although the first part of this conjecture is most likely relatively simple to prove given that the recurrence is easily generalizable, the second part, while supported by all of our numerical computations, gives much less certainty in what direction a proof would proceed.

Assuming Conjecture 4.2 is true, it is possible for our SAGE program to compute the generalized recurrence for a single prime q in order to guarantee the values of the coefficients of P_b modulo q. Applying the program to a few large primes guarantees the coefficients modulo a very large product of primes by the Chinese Remainder Theorem, which is likely to be the actual value of P_b. This makes it easy to compute probable values of P_b for b up to around 50, each using a reasonably small amount of computation time. Those results are omitted from this paper due to the large numbers of terms in the resulting polynomials, though the first few are included in the statement of Theorem 1.7, which indexes the indeterminants starting at x_1 for readability.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we use methods in number theory to prove a characterization of power series in $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ with ramification restricted to the form $b(1+\cdots+p^n)$ for certain values of b, as given by the conclusion of a powerful theorem of Laubie and Saïne. We find a full proof of Theorem 1.6 for $b = 3$, and give a conjecture that results in very probable criteria for higher values of b in Theorem 1.7, as well as the ability to compute similar criteria for arbitrary ramification types of this form.

The numerical results given in Theorem 1.7 immediately show some patterns in the coefficients of P_b, which, after considering more numerical data, could lead to a conjecture on the general form of the ramification criteria in the future. Moreover, our conjecture that the first b polynomials given by the recurrence are identically zero can most likely be proved in the general case from the tools we use to prove Theorem 1.6 given the fact that the recurrences for those polynomials are given in a simple and easily generalizable form. As we did for the 3-ramified case, it will most likely take an explicit computation in the general case to determine that the coefficients are fixed with respect to p.

Appendix A. SAGE Program

The SAGE code below generates the $b+1$ polynomials from the generalized recurrence (4.1), which give a necessary and sufficient criterion for b-ramification in $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ for a given $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and an odd prime p such that $p \nmid b$. In particular, $A(b, m, p)$ generates the matrix given by (4.1), and $V(p, b)$ returns a vector containing the polynomials $A_{1,p}, \ldots, A_{b+1,p}$.

```
def A(b, m, p):
    R = PolynomialRing(GF(p), b+1, 'x')
    M = [[0 for i in range(b+1)] for j in range(b+1)]
    for i in range(b+1):
        for j in range(i+1):
            M[i][j] = R.gen(i-j)*(b*m + j + 1)
    M[b][0] = (b*m + 1)*(b*m)/(GF(p)(2))*(R.gen(0))^2
            + (b*m + 1)*(R.gen(b))
    return matrix(R, M)
def V(p, b):
    R = PolynomialRing(GF(p), b+1, 'x')
    a = []
    for i in range(b+1):
        a.append(R.gen(i))
    v = vector(R, a)
    for m in range(1,p):
        v = A(b, m, p)*v
    return(v)
```


Acknowledgements

The results in this paper originated from a research lab project in PROMYS 2016. We are deeply grateful to Laurent Berger and Sandra Rozensztajn for proposing this problem, and for their mentoring. We also thank our PROMYS research lab counselor Alyosha Latyntsev for his support, as well as Krishanu Sankar, David Fried, Glenn Stevens, the PROMYS Foundation, and the Clay Mathematics Institute for making this research possible.

References

[1] F. M. Bleher, T. Chinburg, B. Poonen, and P. Symonds. Automorphisms of Harbater-Katz-Gabber curves. *ArXiv e-prints*, September 2015. arXiv:1509.02139.

[2] Rachel Camina. Subgroups of the nottingham group. *Journal of Algebra*, 196(1):101–113, 1997.

[3] M. du Sautoy, D. Segal, and A. Shalev. *New Horizons in pro-p Groups*. New Horizons in Pro-p Groups. Birkhäuser Boston, 2000.

[4] Saber Elaydi. *An introduction to difference equations*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2005.

[5] J. Fransson. Complete classification of 2-ramified power series. *ArXiv e-prints*, January 2016. arXiv:1601.03622.

[6] Sandrine Jean. Conjugacy classes of series in positive characteristic and witt vectors. *Journal de ThÃľorie des Nombres de Bordeaux*, 21(2):263–284, 2009.

[7] S.A. Jennings. Substitution groups of formal power series under substitution. *Canad. J. Math*, 6:325 – 340, 1954.

[8] D. L. Johnson. The group of formal power series under substitution. *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society. Series A. Pure Mathematics and Statistics*, 45(3):296–302, 04 2009.

[9] Kevin Keating. Automorphisms and extensions of $k((t))$. *Journal of Number Theory*, 41(3):314 – 321, 1992.
[10] Benjamin Klopsch. Automorphisms of the nottingham group. *Journal of Algebra*, 223(1):37 – 56, 2000.
[11] F Laubie and M Saïne. Ramification of automorphisms of $k((t))$. *Journal of Number Theory*, 63(1):143 – 145, 1997.
[12] François Laubie, Abbas Movahhedi, and Alain Salinier. Systèmes dynamiques non archimédiens et corps des norms (non-archimedean dynamic systems and fields of norms). *Compositio Mathematica*, 132(1):57–98, 2002.
[13] Karl-Olof Lindahl. The size of quadratic p-adic linearization disks. *Advances in Mathematics*, 248:872 – 894, 2013.
[14] Karl-Olof Lindahl and Juan Rivera-Letelier. Optimal cycles in ultrametric dynamics and minimally ramified power series. *Compositio Mathematica*, 152(1):187–222, 009 2015.
[15] Jonathan Lubin. Nonarchimedean dynamical systems. *Compositio Mathematica*, 94(3):321–346, 1994.
[16] Jonathan Lubin. Torsion in the nottingham group. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 43(3), 2011.
[17] Benjamin Muckenhoupt. Automorphisms of formal power series under substitution. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 99(3):373–383, 1961.
[18] J. Riviera-Letelier. *Dynamique des fonctions rationelles sur des corps locaux*. PhD thesis, Universite de Paris XI, 2000.
[19] Shankar Sen. On automorphisms of local fields. *Annals of Mathematics*, 90(1):33–46, 1969.
[20] J.P. Serre. *Galois Cohomology*. Berlin, 1997.
[21] I.O. York. The exponent of certain p-groups. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.*, 33:483–490, 1990.
[22] I.O. York. *The group of formal power series under substitution*. PhD thesis, Nottingham University, 1990.